TOWARD A STEADY-STATE THEORY OF HETEROGENEOUS IGNITION AND EXTINCTION

## OF A PARTICLE IN A HEATED GASEOUS OXIDIZER

Expressions are obtained for critical diameters of particles limiting the regions of existence of the heterogeneous processes of combustion and extinction.

The transition from combustion to extinction of a particle reacting with a gas by the rule  $v_1A_1(\text{solid}) + v_2A_2(\text{gas}) \rightarrow v_3A_3(\text{gas})$  in a heated oxidizer is related to an increase in heat liberation caused by reduction in particle size [1].

Analysis of ignition and extinction based on Frank-Kamenetskii's concepts [2, 3] and neglect of the effect of temperature on the transport coefficients and gas density reduces the accuracy of the results for the critical extinction condition and the degeneracy criterion.

The present study will analyze ignition and extinction of a particle without use of the Frank-Kamenetskii transforms with and without consideration of the effect of temperature on thermophysical properties.

Semenov's conditions

$$Q_{\mathbf{c}} = Q_{\mathbf{l}}, \quad \frac{dQ_{\mathbf{c}}}{dT} = \frac{dQ_{\mathbf{l}}}{dT}, \tag{1}$$

where

$$Q_{\mathbf{c}} = q n_{\mathrm{OX2}} \rho_{2*} \frac{T_{*}}{T_{1}} \left[ \frac{\exp\left(E/RT_{1}\right)}{k_{0}} + \frac{dT_{*}^{n+1}}{\operatorname{Nu} D_{*} T_{1}^{n+1}} \right]^{-1},$$
(2)

$$Q_{1} = \mathrm{Nu} \frac{\lambda_{\tilde{2}*}}{d} \left(\frac{T_{1}}{T_{*}}\right)^{n} (T_{1} - T_{2}),$$
(3)

characterize the critical diameters corresponding to ignition and extinction of a particle in a heated oxidizer.

Figure 1 shows calculated curves for anthracite coal, reacting with atmospheric oxygen by the reaction  $C + O_2 \rightarrow CO_2$ . Physical properties are taken from [2]. The calculations were performed for an oxidizer concentration in the air  $n_{OX_2} = 0.23$  and pressure  $P = 10^5$  Pa, exponent n = 0.75, Nu = 2.

For a large diameter particle with  $d = 180 \ \mu m \ Q_c$  and  $Q_1$  intersect, giving a stable point corresponding to combustion. With decrease in particle radius the  $Q_c$  and  $Q_1$  curves change (shown by the arrow in Fig. 1), and finally at  $d_e = 43.4 \ \mu m$  they become tangent, and the particle extinguishes.

The upper portion of Fig. 1 illustrates tangency of  $Q_c$  and  $Q_1$  at a critical particle diameter of  $d_1 = 127.8 \ \mu m$ .

Thus, at  $T_2 = 1300$  K all particles with  $d > d_1 = 127.8$  µm ignite, burn and extinguish upon reaching  $d_e = 43.4$  µm.

Without considering the dependence of  $\rho$ , D,  $\lambda$  on temperature (n = 0) we will find the critical temperature and diameter of a particle corresponding to its ignition and extinction. For simplicity we assume D =  $\lambda_2/c_2\rho_2$ .

Substituting Eqs. (2), (3) in Eq. (1) we obtain  

$$q n_{0X2} \rho_2 \left[ \frac{\exp{(E/RT_1)}}{k_0} + \frac{dc_2 \rho_2}{\lambda_2 \operatorname{Nu}} \right]^{-1} = \operatorname{Nu} \frac{\lambda_2}{d} (T_1 - T_2), \quad (4)$$

I. I. Mechnikov State University, Odessa. Translated from Inzhenerno-Fizicheskii Zhurnal, Vol. 55, No. 2, pp. 232-236, August, 1988. Original article submitted April 2, 1987.

875

UDC 536.46

$$qn_{0X2}\rho_{2}\left[\frac{\exp\left(E/RT_{1}\right)}{k_{0}}+\frac{dc_{2}\rho_{2}}{\lambda_{2}}\operatorname{Nu}\right]^{-2}\frac{E\exp\left(E/RT_{1}\right)}{RT_{1}^{2}k_{0}}=\operatorname{Nu}\frac{\lambda_{2}}{d}$$
(5)

- a system of equations in  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . Using Eq. (4) to express the particle diameter

$$d = \operatorname{Nu} \frac{\lambda_2 c_2 (T_1 - T_2)}{c_2 \rho_2 k_0 [q n_{\text{ox2}} - c_2 (T_1 - T_2)]} \exp \frac{E}{RT_1}$$
(6)

and substituting the latter in Eq. (5), we obtain an equation relating  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ :

$$T_{1} - T_{2}^{2} \left[ \frac{q n_{\text{OX2}}}{c_{2} (T_{1} - T_{2})} - 1 \right]^{2} - \frac{R T_{1}^{2} q n_{\text{OX2}}}{E c_{2}} \left[ \frac{q n_{\text{OX2}}}{c_{2} (T_{1} - T_{2})} - 1 \right] = 0.$$
(7)
$$T_{1} = T_{2} + \frac{q n_{\text{OX2}}}{2}$$

The first root of Eq. (7)

yields  $d = \infty$ , corresponding to total occurrence of the reaction in the diffusion regime, not a physically realizable situation.

 $C_{\hat{2}}$ 

We define two other roots by solution of an equation which follows from Eq. (7):

$$T_{1}^{2}\left(1+\frac{Rqn_{\text{OX2}}}{Ec_{2}}\right)-2\left(T_{2}+\frac{qn_{\text{OX2}}}{2c_{2}}\right)T_{1}+T_{2}^{2}+\frac{qn_{\text{OX2}}}{c_{2}}T_{2}=0$$

in the form

$$T_{1_{i}} = \left(1 + \frac{Rqn_{\text{ox2}}}{Ec_{2}}\right)^{-1} \left[T_{2} + \frac{qn_{\text{ox2}}}{2c_{2}} \left(1 - \sqrt{\gamma}\right)\right],$$
(8)

$$T_{1e} = \left(1 + \frac{Rqn_{0X2}}{Ec_2}\right)^{-1} \left[T_2 + \frac{qn_{0X2}}{2c_2}\left(1 + \sqrt{\gamma}\right)\right].$$
(9)

Here

$$\gamma = 1 - \frac{4RT_2}{E} - \frac{4RT_2^2 c_2}{Eqn_{000}},$$
 (10)

where  $T_{11}$  is the particle temperature at which ignition occurs, and  $T_{1e}$  is the extinction temperature.

Equations (8)-(10) have meaning only for  $\gamma > 0$ , i.e., at

$$\xi = \frac{qn_{\text{ox2}}E}{RT_2^2c_2} > 4\left(1 + \frac{qn_{\text{ox2}}}{c_2T_2}\right),$$

which differs by  $qn_{OX_2}/c_2T_2 > 1$  from  $\xi$  obtained with use of the Frank-Kamenetskii transform [1-3].

Substituting Eqs. (8) and (9) in Eq. (6) we find

$$d_{i} = \frac{\operatorname{Nu} D \left(1 - \sqrt{\bar{\gamma}} - 2RT_{2}/E\right)}{k_{0} \left[1 + \sqrt{\bar{\gamma}} + 2R \left(T_{2} + qn_{\mathrm{ox2}}/c_{2}\right)/E\right]} \exp \frac{E \left(1 + qn_{\mathrm{ox2}}R/c_{2}E\right)}{R \left[T_{2} + (qn_{\mathrm{ox2}}/2c_{2}) \left(1 - \sqrt{\bar{\gamma}}\right)\right]}$$
(11)

- the critical diameter below which a particle will not ignite at a given temperature - and

$$d_{e} = \frac{\operatorname{Nu} D \left(1 + \sqrt{\gamma} - 2RT_{2}/E\right)}{k_{0} \left[1 - \sqrt{\gamma} + 2R \left(T_{2} + qn_{\text{ox2}}/c_{2}\right)/E\right]} \exp \frac{E \left(1 + qn_{\text{ox2}}R/c_{2}E\right)}{R \left[T_{2} + (qn_{\text{ox2}}/c_{2})\left(1 + \sqrt{\gamma}\right)\right]}$$
(12)

- the critical diameter at which particle extinction occurs.

It can easily be seen from the expressions for di and de that

$$\frac{1 - \sqrt{\bar{\gamma}} - 2RT_2/E}{1 + \sqrt{\bar{\gamma}} + 2R(T_2 + qn_{OX2}/c_2)/E} \ll 1,$$
$$\frac{1 + \sqrt{\bar{\gamma}} - 2RT_2/E}{1 - \sqrt{\bar{\gamma}} + 2R(T_2 + qn_{OX2}/c_2)/E} \ge 1.$$

Then, correspondingly

$$\frac{k_0 d_1}{D \operatorname{Nu}} \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT_{11}}\right) \ll 1, \quad \frac{k_0 d_e}{D \operatorname{Nu}} \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT_{1e}}\right) \ge 1.$$

876



Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 1. Quantities  $Q_c$  and  $Q_1$  vs particle temperature T (K) for anthracite at constant air temperature  $T_2 = 1300$  K and various diameters: 1) 127.8  $\mu$ m; 2) 43.4; 3) 180. Q in W/m<sup>2</sup>.

Fig. 2. Critical diameters  $d_i$ ,  $d_e$  (m) and temperatures  $T_{1i}$  and  $T_{1e}$  (K) vs medium temperature: 1, with consideration of temperature dependence of properties; 2, without such consideration.

Consequently, ignition occurs in the kinetic regime, and extinction in the transitional regime. An approximate analysis will be useful for purposes of comparison with expressions available in the literature. At large activation energies and correspondingly low medium temperatures  $4RT_2/E < 1$ ,  $4RT_2^2c_2/Eqn_{0X2} < 1$ ,  $Rqn_{0X2}/Ec_2 < 1$ . Expanding  $\sqrt{\gamma}$  in a series, we obtain

$$T_{1e} - T_{2} = \frac{RT_{2}^{2}}{E} \left( 1 + \frac{qn_{0x2}R}{Ec_{2}} \right)^{-1} \approx \frac{RT_{2}^{2}}{E}.$$
 (13)

Then the critical ignition condition

$$\frac{k_0 d_1}{D \,\text{Nu}} \exp\left[-\frac{E}{R (T_2 + R T_2^2 / E)}\right] \approx \frac{R T_2^2 c_2}{E q n_{\text{OX2}}} = \frac{1}{\xi}$$
(14)

coincides with that presented in [3], although calculation with Eqs. (13) and (14) yields significant errors as compared to the precise expressions (8), (11), and (10).

In a similar manner we obtain for the extinction temperature and critical condition

$$T_{1e} = T_{m} - \frac{RT_{m}^{2}}{E} \left( 1 + \frac{qn_{ox2}R}{c_{2}E} \right)^{-1} \approx T_{m} - \frac{RT_{m}^{2}}{E},$$
(15)

where  $T_m = T_2 + qn_{OX_2}/c_2$ ,

$$\frac{k_0 d_{\rm e}}{D \,\rm Nu} \exp\left[-\frac{E}{R (T_{\rm m} - RT_{\rm m}^2/E)}\right] = \frac{q n_{\rm ox2} E}{c_2 R T_{\rm m}^2} = \xi \left(\frac{T_2}{T_{\rm m}}\right)^2,$$
(16)

which are more correct than the expressions in [1, 3].

With increase in medium temperature  $d_1$  and  $d_e$  decrease, while  $T_{11}$  and  $T_{1e}$  increase, tending respectively to  $d_\gamma$  and  $T_{1\gamma}$  at  $T_{2\gamma}$ , which is defined from the condition

$$\gamma = 1 - \frac{4RT_{2\gamma}}{E} - \frac{4RT_{2\gamma}^2c_2}{Eqn_{0x2}} = 0$$

$$T_{0x2} = \frac{qn_{0x2}}{E} \left(1 - \frac{Ec_2}{Eqn_{0x2}}\right)^{1/2}$$

in the form

$$T_{2\gamma} = \frac{qn_{0\chi2}}{2c_2} \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{Ec_2}{Rqn_{0\chi2}}} - 1 \right).$$

At temperatures  $T_2 > T_{2\gamma}$  the  $Q_c$  and  $Q_1$  curves do not touch, i.e., degeneration occurs - the case considered thoroughly in [1].

Consideration of the effect of temperature on  $\rho$ , D, and  $\lambda$  yields more realistic results, agreeing with experiment as to particle temperatures [4]. In this case the Semenov conditions take on the form

$$\rho_{2*} \frac{T_{*}}{T_{1}} qn_{\text{OX2}} \left[ \frac{\exp\left(E/RT_{1}\right)}{k_{0}} + \frac{dc_{2}\rho_{2*}T_{*}^{n+1}}{\operatorname{Nu}\lambda_{2*}T_{1}^{n+1}} \right]^{-1} = \operatorname{Nu} \frac{\lambda_{2*}}{d} \left(\frac{T_{1}}{T_{*}}\right)^{n} (T_{1} - T_{2}), \qquad (17)$$

$$\left[ \frac{\exp\left(E/RT_{1}\right)}{k_{0}} + \frac{dc_{2}\rho_{2*}T_{*}^{n+1}}{\operatorname{Nu}\lambda_{2*}T_{1}^{n+1}} \right]^{-2} \left[ \frac{E\exp\left(E/RT_{1}\right)}{RT_{1}k_{0}} + \frac{CR_{1}}{RT_{1}k_{0}} \right]^{-1} \left[ \frac{E\exp\left(E/RT_{1}\right)}{RT_{1}k_{0}} \right]^{-1} \left[ \frac{E\exp\left(E/RT_{1}\right)}{RT_{1}k_{0}} + \frac{CR_{1}}{RT_{1}k_{0}} \right]^{-1} \left[ \frac{E\exp\left(E/RT_{1}\right)}{RT_{1}k_{0}} + \frac{CR_{1}}$$

$$+\frac{(n+1)dc_{2}\rho_{2*}T_{*}^{n+1}}{\operatorname{Nu}\lambda_{2*}T_{1}^{n+1}}\right] = \frac{\operatorname{Nu}\lambda_{2*}T_{1}^{n+1}}{dn_{\operatorname{OXZ}}qT_{*}^{n+1}}\left[T_{1} + n\left(T_{1} - T_{2}\right)\right] + \left[\frac{\exp\left(E/RT_{1}\right)}{k_{0}} + \frac{dc_{2}\rho_{2*}T_{*}^{n+1}}{\operatorname{Nu}\lambda_{2*}T_{1}^{n+1}}\right]^{-1}.$$
(18)

From Eq. (17) we find

$$d = \operatorname{Nu} \frac{\lambda_{2*} T_1^{n+1} c_2 (T_1 - T_2)}{c_2 \rho_{2*} k_0 T_*^{n+1} [qn_{0X2} - c_2 (T_1 - T_2)]} \exp \frac{E}{RT_1}$$
(19)

which when substituted in Eq. (6) yields the equation

$$\frac{[qn_{0X2} - c_2(T_1 - T_2)]}{qn_{0X2}} \left\{ (T_1 - T_2)^2 - (T_1 - T_2) \frac{n_{0X2}q}{c_2} + \frac{n_{0X2}qRT_1^2}{c_2E[1 - RT_1(n+1)/E]} \right\} = 0,$$
(20)

which allows determination of  $T_{1i}$  and  $T_{1e}$  and then, with use of Eq. (19),  $d_i$  and  $d_e$ .

In Fig. 2 the functions obtained by calculation with Eqs. (19) and (20) indicate the necessity of considering the effect of temperature on the transport coefficients and gas density.

The calculation was performed for the same conditions and anthracite properties as the  $Q_c$  and  $Q_1$  calculations.

Approximate analysis with the assumption that  $4c_2RT_1^2/qn_{OX_2}E[1-RT_1(n + 1)/E] < 1$  leads to Eqs. (13) and (15) for  $T_{1i}$  and  $T_{1e}$ , which produce large errors when used to determine critical particle diameters.

## NOTATION

T, temperature; d, particle diameter;  $\lambda$ , thermal conductivity; c, specific heat;  $\rho$ , density; D, diffusion coefficient;  $n_{OX}$ , oxidizer concentration; q, thermal effect of reaction per unit mass of oxidizer; E, activation energy;  $k_0$ , preexponential factor; Q, specific thermal capacity; R, universal gas constant;  $\xi$ , relative heat liberation;  $\gamma$ , critical condition degeneration parameter; Nu, Nusselt number. Subscripts: 1, particle; 2, gaseous oxidizer; c, chemical reaction; 1, heat loss; m, maximum; i, ignition; e, extinction; \*, at fixed temperature of  $T_{\star} = 273$  K.

## LITERATURE CITED

- 1. A. G. Makarenko, B. I. Khaikin, and S. I. Khudyaev, Fiz. Goreniya Vzryva, <u>18</u>, No. 3, 3-9 (1983).
- V. V. Pomerantsev (ed.), Fundamentals of Practical Combustion Theory [in Russian], Leningrad (1986).
- 3. A. N. Zolotko, Theory of Inflammation (Handbook) [in Russian], Odessa (1985).
- 4. V. I. Babii and I. P. Ivanova, Teploenergetika, No. 12, 34-37 (1969).